

Appl. No. 10/666,943
Amtd. Dated Apr. 14, 2005
Reply to Office Action of Dec. 16, 2004

Remarks/Arguments

The objection to the drawings is noted. The requirement that the drawings be amended to include a detailed showing of a hydraulic ratchet wrench is respectfully traversed.

37 CFR 1.83 states "conventional features disclosed in the description and claims, where their detailed illustration is not essential for a proper understanding of the invention, should be illustrated in the drawing in the form of a graphical drawing symbol or a labeled representation."

It is noted that Figure 2 clearly schematically shows the disclosed hydraulic ratchet wrench 12 along with the hydraulic circuit.

The cover page of Canadian application 2 412 817 and European application 0 555 967 A1, show clearly known arrangements of a ratchet wrench. No novel ratchet wrench structure is claimed or alleged.

Accordingly, it is respectfully urged that the objection to the drawings as failing to show a ratchet wrench be withdrawn.

Claims 1-19 have been cancelled and re-drafted as claims 20-36 which call for a hydraulic circuit operating with a double-action hydraulic cylinder piston drive. This arrangement is fully and schematically shown in Figures 1-7 in accordance with 37 CFR 1.83. No new matter has been presented.


It is noted that patent disclosures are drafted to be understood by one skilled in the art. It is believed that the instant drawing and specification clearly show and

Appl. No. 10/666,943
Amdt. Dated Apr. 14, 2005
Reply to Office Action of Dec. 16, 2004

describe the circuit and the double-action hydraulic cylinder piston drive of the invention.

Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that the rejection under 35 USC 112 be withdrawn and the claims receive an action on the merits.

Respectfully submitted,



Henry S. Jaudon
Registration No. 34,056
McNair Law Firm, P.A.
P.O. Box 10827
Greenville, SC 29603-0827
Telephone: (864) 232-4261
Agent for the Applicant